



Medicines Optimisation Comparators Version: December 2017

Comparator Descriptions and Specifications

Contents

Introduction	4	ļ
Reporting Level	4	Ļ
NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	4	Ļ
Changes to comparators for December 2017	5	,
CCG Comparators	7	,
ANTIBIOTICS: Antibacterial items per STAR-PU	7	,
ANTIBIOTICS: Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins and Quinolones %	% items9)
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % EPS items	11	
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices enabled for EPS	12)
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices submitting EPS	13	3
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Repeat Dispensing	14	Ļ
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of EPS Repeat Dispensing	15	,
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting MUR	16	;
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed	items 17	,
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting NMS	18	3
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed	items19)
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold	l or above20)
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement	21	
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) % achieving upper threshold or	above22)
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) % underlying achievement	23	3
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % achieving upper threshold or	above24	ŀ
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % underlying achievement	25	,
CVD/CHD: NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items	26	;
CVD/CHD: Oral Anticoagulants % items	27	,
DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % achieving upper thresh	nold or above29)
DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % underlying achievement	nt30)
DIABETES: Emergency Diabetes Admissions	31	
MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants (selected): ADQ/STAR PU ((ADQ based)32)
MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants: First choice % items (2015))34	ŀ
MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % achieving upper thr	eshold or above 36	ò
MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % underlying achiever	ment 37	,
MENTAL HEALTH: Hypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)	38)
MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % achieving upper the		

	MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % underlying achievement	41
	OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % achieving upper threshold or above	42
	OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % underlying achievement	43
	PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service.	44
	PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service	45
Ρ	ATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Availability	46
	RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % achieving upper threshold or above	47
	RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % underlying achievement	49
	RESPIRATORY: Emergency Asthma Admissions	50
	RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % achieving upp threshold or above	
	RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % underlying achievement	52
	RESPIRATORY: Emergency COPD Admissions	53
Η	lospital Trust Comparators	54
	BIOSIMILARS: % of Etanercept biosimilars uptake	54
	BIOSIMILARS: % of Infliximab biosimilars uptake	55
	BIOSIMILARS: % of Rituximab biosimilars uptake	56
	PATIENT EXPERIENCE: CQC In-patient Survey	57
	PATIENT SAFETY: Medicines Reconciliation	59
	PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS % of harmful incidents	60
	PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS reported medication incidents	61
	PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Utilisation	62

Introduction

The Medicines Optimisation dashboard is managed by the Medicines Optimisation Intelligence Group which is chaired by Bruce Warner, Deputy Chief Pharmacist, NHS England. This dashboard is part of the wider PPRS/Medicines Optimisation Programme, a joint programme of action by NHS England and the ABPI with the full support of Government through the Ministerial Industry Strategy Group.

Medicines Optimisation is about improving patient outcomes, quality and value from medicines use, guided by the principles of medicines optimisation, and to create a clinical pull to accelerate the optimal use of innovative, clinical and cost effective medicines which maximises the benefits of the PPRS Agreement.

This dashboard brings together a range of data relating to variation in medicines use and prescribing to inform the strategic medicines optimisation plans of CCGs and Trusts. It helps support NHS organisations in highlighting variation and facilitates discussion on how they compare with others across a range of comparators. It is not intended as a performance measurement tool and there are no targets.

Further information regarding medicines optimisation can be found on the NHS England website <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation"https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation"https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation"https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation"https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisati

This document provides descriptions and specifications for the December 2017 Medicines Optimisation dashboard. Also included are details of any withdrawn comparators as well as additions and changes to the previous comparators published June 2017.

Practice level data is refreshed monthly within the NHSBSA Information Services Portal https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome Further work will be progressed to make accessibility to practice level data easier.

Reporting Level

- CCG comparators show data at CCG level (aggregated to NHS England Area, Local Office, AHSN, STP, CCG demographic clusters, Region and England level)
- CCG similar 10 is available in InstantAtlas only.
- Hospital Trust comparators show data at Hospital Trust level (aggregated to NHS England Area, Local Office, AHSN, STP, Trust cluster, Region and England level) except CQC Inpatient Survey which is not aggregated

NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity. Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring. The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.30% and as at September 2017 processed prescriptions the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.62%.

Changes to comparators for December 2017

The following table lists additional comparators or those comparators that have changed or had data refreshed since the June 2017 published version of the Medicines Optimisation dashboard (data has not been refreshed for the other remaining comparators).

Comparator Name: CCG	Comments
Antibacterial items per STAR PU	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 –
•	September 2017
Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins and	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 –
Quinolones % items	September 2017
% EPS items	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
% of Practices enabled for EPS	Data now available as at end of June 2017 and September 2017
% of Practices submitting EPS	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
% of Repeat Dispensing	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 – September 2017
% of EPS Repeat Dispensing	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 – September 2017
% of Pharmacies conducting MUR	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 – September 2017
Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed items	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 – September 2017
% of Pharmacies conducting NMS	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 – September 2017
Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed items	Yearly data now available for July 2016 – June 2017 and October 2016 – September 2017
NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
Oral Anticoagulants % items	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
Antidepressants (selected): ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
Antidepressants: First choice % items (2015)	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
Hypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)	Quarterly data now available up to September 2017
QOF indicators (18 in total)	Yearly data now available for April 2016 – March 2017
Summary Care Records Availability	Data now available as of 1 September 2017 and 10 November 2017
Awareness of the on-line ordering of	Data now available for January 2017 – March 2017
repeat prescriptions service Use of the on-line ordering of repeat	Data now available for January 2017 – March 2017
prescriptions service	Data now available for Sandary 2017 — Watch 2017
Comparator Name: Hospital Trust	Comments
Biosimilar: % of Etanercept biosimilars	Monthly data now available for October 2016 – October 2017
uptake	Monthly data now available for Colober 2010 Colober 2017
Biosimilar: % of Infliximab biosimilars uptake	Monthly data now available for October 2016 – October 2017
Biosimilar: % of Rituximab biosimilars uptake	This is an additional comparator that has been included and represents 'The percentage of gram volume for the biosimilar versions of rituximab' and is extracted from the NHS Improvement Model Hospital Dashboard – Pharmacy and Medicines compartment. The data is sourced from the Rx-info Define system which is used by 95 % of acute trusts. Currently monthly data is available for July 2017 – October 2017 with the intention of building up to data being available on a 13 month rolling basis.
Medicines Reconciliation	Historical data includes data received from both the National Medicine Reconciliation Database and the Safety Thermometer and now includes January 2016 – December 2016 data as well as amended data for April 2016 – March 2017 (please note the Safety Thermometer informed us that they had amended data for April 2016 – March 2017). From this dashboard onwards updated data is only available from the Safety Thermometer and now includes yearly data available for September 2016 –

	August 2017 and November 2016 – October 2017.
NRLS % of harmful incidents	Six monthly data now available for October 2016 – March 2017
	Six monthly data now available for October 2016 – March 2017.
NRLS reported medication incidents	Please note that the denominator has now changed from FCE days of hospital
	care to KH03 overnight bed days as agreed by the Medicines Optimisation
	Intelligence Group.
Summary Care Records Utilisation	Data now available as at June 2017 and September 2017
CQC Adult Inpatient Survey	Data now available for August 2016 – January 2017

CCG Comparators

ANTIBIOTICS: Antibacterial items per STAR-PU

Section 1: Introduction / Overview					
1.1		Antibacterial items per STAR PU			
1.2	MO Theme	ANTIBIOTICS			
1.3	Definition	Number of prescript sub-set) ITEM base		cterial drugs (BNF 5.1) per oral antiba	acterial (BNF 5.1
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Total number of iter	ns for antibacterial c	Iruas (BNF 5.1)	
				95 (=)	
		BNF Name Antibacterial Drugs	BNF C 0501		
1.6	Denominator			5.1 sub-set) ITEM based STAR-PU	
1.0	Donominator	Total Hamber of ord	ii antibacteriais (Divi	5.1 3db 3ct/ 11 Elvi basca 617 (K 1 6	
		Oral antibacterial	(BNF 5.1 sub-set) l	ΓΕΜ based STAR PU (2013 weight	ing)
		Age Band	Male	Female	
		0-4	0.8	0.8	
		5-14	0.3	0.4	
		_		_	
		15-24	0.3	0.6	
		25-34	0.2	0.6	
		35-44	0.3	0.6	
		45-54	0.3	0.6	
		55-64	0.4	0.7	
		65-74	0.7	1.0	
		75+	1.0	1.3	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided l	by the denominator		
		Represented as nur	mber of antibacterial	items per STAR PU	
		(BNF 5.1 sub-set) I	TEM based STAR P	o the numerator are not available. Or U values have been used as the den	ominator since
		(Source: ePACT).	ntibacteriais accoun	ted for only 0.17% of all items for BN	IF 5.1 III 2014/15
		STAR PUs are weig information regardir		IHS Digital and the following link provures	vides further
		http://content.digitalv7.pdf	.nhs.uk/media/1002	7/Prescribing-measures-booklet/pdf/	pres-meas-book-
		are only fully refrest	ned at the end of tha	roughout a financial quarter and thes at financial quarter; therefore STAR P available complete patient list size.	
			os://apps.nhsbsa.nh	ata are available through NHSBSA Ins.uk/infosystems/welcome).	nformation
Section	on 2: Rationale	-			
2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the	prescribing compara	ator is to support the evidence and m	essages included
	·	in the 'Key theraped publication by highli reducing variation a	utic topics – Medicini ghting variation in p and a movement of the	es management options for local imprescribing across organisations, with ne mean in the appropriate direction	lementation' the aim of over time. The
				nisations and prescribers in reviewing revise prescribing where appropriate	
2.2	Evidence and	Antibiotic resistance	poses a significant	threat to public health, especially be	cause antibiotics
-	Policy Base	underpin routine me to only prescribe an	edical practice. To he tibiotics when they a	elp prevent the development of resist are necessary, and not for self-limitin is, earache and sore throats.	tance it is important
				ate of the Medicines and Prescribing	Centre

		http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeutictopics/keyTherapeuticTopics.jsp This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital. http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf
Secti	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

ANTIBIOTICS: Co-amoxiclay, Cephalosporins and Quinolones % items

Section	on 1: Introduction /	Overview	
1.1	Title	Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins and Quin	olones % items
1.2	MO Theme	ANTIBIOTICS	
1.3	Definition	Number of prescription items for co-amo:	xiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a ption items for selected antibacterial drugs (sub-set of
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription items for co-amo	xiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones
		BNF Name	BNF Code
		Co-amoxiclav	0501013K0
		Cephalosporins	0501021
		Quinolones	050112
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription items for BNF 5.1	.1; 5.1.2.1; 5.1.3; 5.1.5; 5.1.8; 5.1.11; 5.1.12; 5.1.13
		BNF Name	BNF Code
		Cephalosporins	0501021
		Macrolides	050105
		Metronidazole, Tinidazole & Ornidazole	050111
		Penicillins	050101
		Quinolones	050112
		Sulphonamides & Trimethoprim	050108
		Tetracyclines	050103
		Urinary-Tract Infections	050113
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as percentage of items for	co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones
		The denominator attempts to exclude an amoxiclav, cephalosporins or quinolones	tibiotics that do not provide a suitable alternative to co- and/or are specialist antibiotics i.e.
			ta are available through NHSBSA Information .uk/infosystems/welcome) catalogued under the
Section	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the prescribing comparat	or is to support the evidence and messages included
		in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicine publication by highlighting variation in pre reducing variation and a movement of the comparator is intended to support organi appropriateness of current prescribing, re implementation.	s management options for local implementation' escribing across organisations, with the aim of e mean in the appropriate direction over time. The sations and prescribers in reviewing the evise prescribing where appropriate and monitor
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Antibiotic resistance poses a significant threat to public health, especially because antibiotics underpin routine medical practice. To help prevent the development of resistance it is important to only prescribe antibiotics when they are necessary, and not for self-limiting mild infections such as colds and most coughs, sinusitis, earache and sore throats. HPA guidance recommends that simple generic antibiotics should be used if possible when antibiotics are necessary. Broad-spectrum antibiotics (for example, co-amoxiclav, quinolones and cephalosporins) should be avoided when narrow-spectrum antibiotics remain effective because they increase the risk of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Clostridium difficile and resistant urinary tract infections.	
		http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeut	ines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) S Digital /Descriptions-and-Specifications-
Section	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	

3.2	Data owner &	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
	contact details	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data
		Data available from January 2014
3.4	Data quality	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy
	assurance	NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % EPS items

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	% EPS items		
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT		
1.3	Definition	Percentage of all items supplied via electronic prescriptions service (EPS)		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
1.5	Numerator	Number of items prescribed and dispensed via EPS during the reporting period		
1.6	Denominator	The total number of items prescribed and dispensed during the reporting period		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator		
		Represented as percentage of all items supplied electronically		
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information		
		Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome)		
		catalogued under the Prescribing Monitoring reports		
	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	Almost all community pharmacies are Electronic Prescription Service (EPS) enabled but many GP practices are not. This comparator aims to allow a CCG to explore how EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	EPS enables prescribers such as GPs and practice nurses to send prescriptions electronically to a dispenser (such as a pharmacy) of the patient's choice. The prescription is then sent on to NHS Business Services Authority for payment. This makes the prescribing and dispensing process more efficient and convenient for patients and staff.		
Secti	Section 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014		
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance		

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices enabled for EPS

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	% of Practices enabled for EPS		
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT		
1.3	Definition	Percentage of practices enabled for electronic prescriptions (EPS)		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices that have submitted at least one live prescription up to the end of the reporting period		
1.6	Denominator	Number of practices at the end of the reporting period		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator		
		Represented as percentage of practices enabled for EPS		
		A practice is determined as enabled when a claim has been received by the NHSBA		
		Data is for GP practices active at any time during the reporting period		
Secti	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	This comparator aims to allow a CCG to explore how EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	EPS enables prescribers such as GPs and practice nurses to send prescriptions electronically to a dispenser (such as a pharmacy) of the patient's choice. The prescription is then sent on to NHS Business Services Authority for payment. This makes the prescribing and dispensing process more efficient and convenient for patients and staff.		
Secti	on 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with month end data Data available as at end of December 2014		
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance		

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices submitting EPS

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	% of Practices submitting EPS		
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT		
1.3	Definition	Percentage of practices undertaking electronic prescriptions (EPS)		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices who submitted EPS messages during the reporting period		
1.6	Denominator	The total number of practices during the reporting period		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator		
		Represented as percentage of practices undertaking EPS		
		Data is for GP practices active at any time during the reporting period		
Secti	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	This comparator aims to allow a CCG to explore how EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	EPS enables prescribers such as GPs and practice nurses to send prescriptions electronically to a dispenser (such as a pharmacy) of the patient's choice. The prescription is then sent on to NHS Business Services Authority for payment. This makes the prescribing and dispensing process more efficient and convenient for patients and staff.		
Secti	on 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014		
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance		

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Repeat Dispensing

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	% of Repeat Dispensing		
		The second secon		
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT		
1.3	Definition	Percentage of repeat dispensing items compared to all prescribing		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
4.5	Numerator	About and an activities and alternative in the second and alternative at the second and activities at the second and activities at the second		
1.5		Number of repeat dispensing items prescribed and dispensed during the reporting period		
1.6	Denominator	Total number of NHS prescribed and dispensed items during the reporting period		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator		
		Represented as percentage of repeat dispensing items		
		(0)		
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information		
		Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome).		
Socti	on 2: Rationale	catalogued under the Prescribing Monitoring reports		
2.1	Purpose	There is simplificant registion in the proposition of propositions are proposed in this requirement		
2.1	Purpose	There is significant variation in the proportion of prescriptions managed in this way with some		
		GP practices not making this service available to their patients. The use of this comparator aims to increase the proportion of items provided this way and to ultimately free up GP and		
		practice time.		
2.2	Evidence and	In 2002 it was estimated that up to 80% of all repeat prescriptions could be replaced with		
2.2	Policy Base	repeat dispensing over time, "yielding savings of up to 2.7 million hours of GP and practice		
	1 Oney Base	time". Feedback from areas that have implemented repeat dispensing is that patients find the		
		system more convenient.		
		System meter semiconerm		
		This opportunity was highlighted in the Transforming Primary care document published by DH		
		and NHS England.		
		https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/304139/Transfor		
		ming_primary_care.pdf		
		Repeat dispensing enables GPs to issue a single prescription for up to a year, which		
		pharmacists are then able to dispense in instalments. It provides pharmacists with a number of		
		opportunities to have a discussion with the patient to determine if they still require the medicine		
0		and whether the patient is experiencing any problems with taking it.		
	on 3: Data	All IO Duning and Opening Anthonis.		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority		
3.2	Data owner &	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net		
3.2	contact details	nnsbsa.neip@nns.net		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data		
3.3	Time Frame	Data available from January 2014		
3.4	Data quality	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy		
3.4	assurance	NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance		
	assurance	NITODON Data. Data quality assurance		
L				

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of EPS Repeat Dispensing

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	% of EPS Repeat Dispensing	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of all items prescribed as electronic repeat dispensing as a proportion of all electronic prescriptions	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of repeat dispensing items submitted via EPS during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	The total number of items prescribed and dispensed via EPS during the reporting period	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as percentage of EPS repeat dispensing items	
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome).	
		catalogued under the Prescribing Monitoring reports	
	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Measure of the uptake and utilisation of repeat dispensing via EPS This comparator aims to allow a CCG to explore how repeat dispensing via EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In 2002, it was estimated that up to 80% of all repeat prescriptions could be replaced with repeat dispensing over time, "yielding savings of up to 2.7 million hours of GP and practice time". Feedback from areas that have implemented repeat dispensing is that patients find the system more convenient. Repeat dispensing enables GPs to issue a single prescription for up to a year, which pharmacists are then able to dispense in instalments. It provides pharmacists with a number of opportunities to have a discussion with the patient to determine if they still require the medicine and whether the patient is experiencing any problems with taking it.	
Secti	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting MUR

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	% of Pharmacies conducting MUR	
		7. S. F. Hallingsoo conducting more	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of pharmacies conducting MUR	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of pharmacies claiming for one or more MURs during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims during the reporting period	
		Total name of praintage of cashinang remarks and a saming and reporting period	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator (The average (i.e. the mean) number of pharmacies claiming for one or more MUR in the 12 month reporting period divided by the average number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims in the same 12 months. This provides a view of what is taking place on a monthly basis and the proportion of pharmacies undertaking the service regularly. This will be different to actual figures available in other publications). Represented as percentage of pharmacies conducting MUR	
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included	
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data	
		NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG	
	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via MUR services to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The MUR service is an Advanced service within the NHS community pharmacy contractual framework. It is a structured review that is undertaken by a pharmacist to help patients to manage their medicines more effectively. Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for MURs and states Payment will be made up to a maximum of 400 MURs per pharmacy for the period commencing on 1 April and ending on 31 March in any year. The DT is available through the link below. http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx	
Secti	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed items

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed items	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Number of MUR per 1,000 prescription items dispensed	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of MUR claimed by pharmacies during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	Number of items dispensed, taken from the pharmacy submission to NHSBSA for the reporting period divided by 1,000	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as number of MUR per 1,000 prescription items dispensed	
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included	
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data.	
		NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG	
Secti	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via MUR services to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The MUR service is an Advanced service within the NHS community pharmacy contractual framework. It is a structured review that is undertaken by a pharmacist to help patients to manage their medicines more effectively. Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for MURs and states payment will be made up to a maximum of 400 MURs per pharmacy for the period commencing on 1 April and ending on 31 March in any year The DT is available through the link below. http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx	
Secti	ction 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting NMS

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	% of Pharmacies conducting NMS	
		, and the state of	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of pharmacies conducting NMS	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of pharmacies claiming for one or more NMS during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims during the reporting period	
1.0	Denominator	Total number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims during the reporting period	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		(The average (i.e. the mean) number of pharmacies claiming for one or more NMS in the 12 month reporting period divided by the average number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims in the same 12 months. This provides a view of what is taking place on a monthly basis and the proportion of pharmacies undertaking the service regularly. This will be different to actual figures available in other publications).	
		Represented as percentage of pharmacies conducting NMS	
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included	
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data. NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG	
	Section 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via NMS to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The New Medicine Service (NMS) was the fourth Advanced Service to be added to the NHS community pharmacy contract; it commenced on 1st October 2011. The service provides support for people with long-term conditions newly prescribed a medicine to help improve medicines adherence; it is initially focused on particular patient groups and conditions. The NMS service is designed to provide early support to patients to maximise the benefits of the medicine they have been prescribed. Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for NMS The DT is available through the link below. http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx	
Secti	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed items

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed items	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Number of NMS per 1,000 prescription items dispensed	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of NMS claimed by pharmacies during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	Number of items dispensed, taken from the pharmacy submission to NHSBSA for the reporting period divided by 1,000	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as number of NMS per 1,000 prescription items dispensed	
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included	
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data.	
		NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG	
Secti	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via NMS to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The New Medicine Service (NMS) was the fourth Advanced Service to be added to the NHS community pharmacy contract; it commenced on 1st October 2011. The service provides support for people with long-term conditions newly prescribed a medicine to help improve medicines adherence; it is initially focused on particular patient groups and conditions. The NMS service is designed to provide early support to patients to maximise the benefits of the medicine they have been prescribed. Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for NMS. The DT is available through the link below. http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx	
	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	

CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold or above

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold or above	
'''		The state of the cost of a contenting appearance in a cost of above	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD	
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (70% or more	
		inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator AF007	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator AF007	
		(achievement of 70% or more inclusive of exceptions)	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator AF007	
1.0	Denominator	Total Hamber of practices in a coc with engine patterns for Qof indicator /11 cor	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of	
		exceptions	
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who	
		satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to	
		registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group	
		and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the	
		contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be	
		excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and	
		practice.	
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17(NHS Employers)	
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-	
		17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality	
		care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.	
		Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.	
		With in the COE there are a number of indicators that are accorded with the officiality and/or	
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or	
		appropriate use of medicines.	
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for AF007.	
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q	
		OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence and	Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia and if left untreated is a	
	Policy Base	significant risk factor for stroke and other morbidities. Existing evidence suggests that many	
		patients with AF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher	
		score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with AF.	
	0. D. 1		
	on 3: Data	NIUS Digital	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner &	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website	
J.Z	contact details	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/	
	Jonata actano	https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124	
		Tripon/signamino-divoduciogdon ODOO (2.)	
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data)	
		Data available from April 2015	
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance		

CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement

Secti	on 1: Introduction /	Overview
1.1	Title	Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator AF007(inclusive of exceptions)
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more inclusive of exceptions
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as a percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
Secti	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for AF007. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia and if left untreated is a significant risk factor for stroke and other morbidities. Existing evidence suggests that many patients with AF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with AF.
Secti	on 3: Data	1 222.2) 2.2 soprojing ojotenione processe to tooming and near patiente minimi
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2015
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

$\label{eq:cvd} \text{CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) } \% \text{ achieving upper threshold or above}$

Secti	on 1: Introduction / (Overview
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF003) % achieving upper threshold or above
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (100% inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator HF003
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator HF003 (achievement of 100% inclusive of exceptions)
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator HF003
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as a percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
Section	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or
		appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for HF003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or surgery that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water. Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits: •it helps make the heart stronger •it improves your symptoms •it reduces the risk of a flare-up •it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.
	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

${\it CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) \% underlying achievement}$

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF003) % underlying achievement	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD	
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator HF003 (inclusive of exceptions)	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB	
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction inclusive of exceptions	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions	
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.	
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
Secti	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.	
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.	
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for HF003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or surgery that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water. Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits: •it helps make the heart stronger •it improves your symptoms •it reduces the risk of a flare-up •it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives	
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.	
	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124	
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided	

CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % achieving upper threshold or above

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF004) % achieving upper threshold or above	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD	
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (65% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator HF004	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator HF004 (achievement of 65% or more inclusive of exceptions)	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator HF004	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions	
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.	
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
Secti	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.	
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.	
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for HF004. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or surgery that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water. Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits: •it helps make the heart stronger •it improves your symptoms •it reduces the risk of a flare-up •it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives	
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.	
Secti	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124	
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided	

CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % underlying achievement

Section	on 1: Introduction /	Overview
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF004) % underlying achievement
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator HF004 (inclusive of
		exceptions)
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic
		dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB who are additionally currently
		treated with a beta-blocker licensed for heart failure
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic
		dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB inclusive of exceptions
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who
		satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to
		registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group
		and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the
		contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be
		excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and
		practice.
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers)
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q
		OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
Section	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality
		care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.
		Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or
		appropriate use of medicines.
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for HF004.
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q
0.0	Fridance	<u>OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</u>
2.2	Evidence and	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or
	Policy Base	surgery that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water.
		Surgery that will improve heart furicison of help the body get ha of excess water.
		Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits:
		•it helps make the heart stronger
		•it improves your symptoms
		•it reduces the risk of a flare-up
		•it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF
		remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be
		deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.
	on 3: Data	Lauro De de la
3.1	Data source Data owner &	NHS Digital OCE CCC level table. NHS Digital website
3.2	contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/
	Contact details	https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data)
		Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality	None provided
	assurance	

CVD/CHD: NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD	
1.3	Definition	Number of prescription items for ibuprofen and naproxen as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for all NSAIDs	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription items for ibuprofen and naproxen (sub-set of BNF section 10.1.1)	
		BNF Name BNF Code	
		Ibuprofen 1001010J0	
		Ibuprofen Lysine 1001010AD	
		Naproxen 1001010P0	
		Naproxen Sodium 100101070	
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription items for BNF section 10.1.1 (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs)	
		BNF Name BNF Code	
		Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs 100101	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
1.7	Wethodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as percentage of ibuprofen and naproxen items	
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information	
		Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome)	
		catalogued under the MOKTT reports	
Secti	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the prescribing comparator is to support the evidence and messages included	
		in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines management options for local implementation'	
		publication by highlighting variation in prescribing across organisations, with the aim of	
		reducing variation and a movement of the mean in the appropriate direction over time. The	
		comparator is intended to support organisations and prescribers in reviewing the appropriateness of current prescribing, revise prescribing where appropriate and monitor	
		implementation.	
2.2	Evidence and	There are long-standing and well-recognised gastrointestinal and renal safety concerns with all	
	Policy Base	NSAIDs. There is also an increased risk of cardiovascular events with many NSAIDs, including	
		COX-2 inhibitors and some traditional NSAIDs. The MHRA recommends that the lowest	
		effective dose of NSAID should be prescribed for the shortest time necessary for control of	
		symptoms.	
		In 2005, a review by the European Medicines Agency identified an increased risk of thrombotic	
		events, such as heart attack and stroke, with COX-2 inhibitors. In 2006, they also concluded that a small increased risk of thrombotic events could not be excluded with non-selective	
		NSAIDs, including diclofenac, particularly when they are used at high doses for long-term	
		treatment. This risk does not appear to be shared by ibuprofen at 1200 mg per day or less, or	
		naproxen at 1000 mg per day.	
		See the NICE website for the latest update of the Medicines and Prescribing Centre publication	
		http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeutictopics/keyTherapeuticTopics.jsp	
		This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT)	
		Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital	
		http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-	
Secti	on 3: Data	201516/pdf/Descriptions_and_Specifications_2015_16.pdf	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data source Data owner &	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
J	contact details		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data	
		Data available from October 2014	
3.4	Data quality	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy	
	assurance	NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance	

CVD/CHD: Oral Anticoagulants % items

	on 1: Introduction /	Overview		
1.1	Title	Oral Anticoagulants % items		
	.100	Tai / inicoaguiants /o items	,	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD		
1.3	Definition	Number of prescription items for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban and rivaroxaba		
			mber of prescription items for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate,	
	D	edoxaban, rivaroxaban and	warfarin sodium	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription item	s for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban and rivaroxaban	
		BNF Name	DNE Code	
		Apixaban	BNF Code 0208020Z0	
		Dabigatran etexilate	0208020X0	
		Edoxaban	0208020AA	
		Rivaroxaban	0208020Y0	
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription item	s for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban, rivaroxaban and	
		warfarin sodium	, , ,	
		BNF Name	BNF Code	
		Apixaban	0208020Z0	
		Dabigatran etexilate Edoxaban	0208020X0 0208020AA	
		Rivaroxaban	0208020AA 0208020Y0	
		Warfarin sodium	0208020V0	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denor		
			of apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban and rivaroxaban	
		items		
Sooti	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	Comparator highlights the v	ariation in uptake of newer and alternative anticoagulants	
۷. ۱	1 di pose		ws for the monitoring of uptake over time.	
2.2	Evidence and		b highlight uptake of medicines appraised by NICE.	
	Policy Base		llation (AF) will require anticoagulation therapy to reduce their risk	
			ge of treatment options available will support a patient-centred	
			mprove outcomes by increasing the proportion of patients regularly	
		taking anticoagulants.	II. (0.40.) I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
			medicines (OACs) have recently been appraised by NICE and are	
			n, for the management of patients with Atrial Fibrillation (AF). In light how many patients with a diagnosis of AF are not receiving	
		any anticoagulation (e.g. via the NHS IQ GRASP-AF tool (http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/primis/)		
			dence suggests that there are a number of patients that have a	
		diagnosis of Atrial Fibrillation	n but are not receiving any anticoagulant medication. Patients	
			edicines made available to them and a shared decision reached	
		·	the patient as to which meets their individual needs and which	
		medicines they are most like		
			ice.org.uk/TA249) and rivaroxaban (www.nice.org.uk/TA256) were apixaban (www.nice.org.uk/TA275) was appraised by NICE in	
			ice/TA355) was appraised by NICE in 2015 for the prevention of	
			sm in people with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation.	
			per cent use" approach for prescription items of apixiban,	
			an and rivaroxaban. These medicines are recommended by NICE	
			nent of AF and therefore this comparator measures the variation in	
			comparison with Warfarin. These medicines are also	
		recommended by NICE as options for the management of other conditions as detailed below:		
			kaban (TA 170) and apixaban (TA 245) have also been appraised	
			of thromboembolism following hip or knee replacement.	
			kaban (TA 261), apixaban (TA 341) and edoxaban (TA 354) have E for the treatment and prevention of deep-vein thrombosis and	
		prevention of recurrent deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. In addition rivaroxaba (TA 287) has been appraised by NICE for the treatment of pulmonary embolism.		
		Rivaroxaban (TA 335) has also been appraised by NICE for preventing adverse outcomes after		
		acute management of acute		

		The NHS Innovation Review, Innovation Health and Wealth (December 2011), was launched by the Prime Minister alongside the Strategy for UK Life Sciences (December 2011). The document highlights eight areas where it makes recommendations; one of which is that we should reduce variation in the NHS, and drive greater compliance with guidance from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. This indicator has been chosen to show the variation in the uptake of OACs and therefore highlight where CCGs are not making these anticoagulant medicines available to patients in their area. It should be noted that NICE have positively appraised these medicines as options for treatment. The comparator is likely to highlight prescribing of OACs for atrial fibrillation, and possibly treatment and prevention of DVT/PE in primary care. Use of OACs for prevention of venous thromboembolism post hip or knee surgery will be mostly or entirely within secondary care and therefore not reflected in the comparator.
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % achieving upper threshold or above

Section	on 1: Introduction /	Overview
1.1	Title	Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % achieving upper threshold or above
1.2	MO Theme	DIABETES
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (92% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator DM009
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator DM009 (achievement of 92% or more inclusive of exceptions)
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator DM009
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf
	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for DM009. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Diabetes is a lifelong condition that causes a person's blood sugar level to become too high. There are two main types of diabetes – type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.
		There are 3.5 million people diagnosed with diabetes in the UK and an estimated 549,000 people who have the condition, but don't know it (Diabetes UK). Uncontrolled diabetes can result in devastating complications and reduced quality of life for patients and increased mortality. In addition it places great strain on NHS resources. This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with diabetes remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with diabetes.
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % underlying achievement

Secti	on 1: Introduction /	Overview
1.1	Title	Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % underlying achievement
1.2	MO Theme	DIABETES
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator DM009 (inclusive of exceptions)
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 75 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with diabetes on the register (inclusive of exceptions)
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework
		(QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
Secti	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for DM009.
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q
		OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Diabetes is a lifelong condition that causes a person's blood sugar level to become too high. There are two main types of diabetes – type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.
		There are 3.5 million people diagnosed with diabetes in the UK and an estimated 549,000 people who have the condition, but don't know it (Diabetes UK).
		Uncontrolled diabetes can result in devastating complications and reduced quality of life for patients and increased mortality. In addition it places great strain on NHS resources.
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with diabetes remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with diabetes.
	on 3: Data	Lauro De Sal
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

DIABETES: Emergency Diabetes Admissions

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Emergency Diabetes Admissions			
		amongonio, amongonio			
1.2	MO Theme	DIABETES			
1.3	Definition	The number of emergency attendances for diabetes per 100 patients on the practice QOF diabetes disease register			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range E10-E14			
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients (17+) on practice QOF diabetes disease register as of 31 March 2016			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as emergency diabetes admissions per 100 patients on practice QOF diabetes disease register			
Secti	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	To highlight and compare the rate of hospital emergency admissions due to complications associated with diabetes as a proxy for the effective management of the condition.			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Diabetes is a lifelong condition that causes a person's blood sugar level to become too high. There are two main types of diabetes – type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.			
		There are 3.5 million people diagnosed with diabetes in the UK and an estimated 549,000 people who have the condition, but don't know it (Diabetes UK).			
		Uncontrolled diabetes can result in complications and reduced quality of life for patients and increased mortality. In addition it places a burden on NHS resources.			
		If diabetes is uncontrolled this can lead to fluctuations in blood sugar levels potentially resulting in hospital admission. Emergency admissions due to diabetes can therefore be used to an extent as a proxy for the quality of management of the condition, including the optimal use of medicines.			
Secti	on 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 12 months accumulated data Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided			

MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants (selected): ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)

	on 1: Introduction /	ntidepressants (sele Overview		2 (4	
1.1	Title	Antidepressants (selec	cted): ADQ/STAR F	PU (ADQ based	i)
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH			
1.3	Definition	Number of average da antidepressants (BNF			antidepressant prescribing per U
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Total average daily qu	antities (ADQ) usa	ge for selected	antidepressants (BNF 4.3 sub-set)
		BNF Name Antidepressant Drugs		BNF Code 0403	
		excluding: BNF Name Amitriptyline Hydrochl Clomipramine Hydrochlo Imipramine Hydrochlo Nortriptyline Trimipramine Monoamine-Oxidase I Flupentixol Hydrochlo	hloride ride nhibitors (MAOIs)	BNF Code 0403010B0 0403010F0 0403010N0 0403010V0 0403010Y0 040302 0403040F0	
1.6	Denominator	Number of antidepress	sant (BNF 4.3 sub-	set) ADQ based	d STAR-PU
		Antidepressant (BNF	4.3 sub-set) ADC	based STAR-	PU (2013 weighting)
		Age band	Male		Female
		0-4	0.0		0.0
		5-14	0.1		0.1
		15-24	4.7		11.4
		25-34	12.9		27.1
		35-44	19.8		42.6
		45-54	22.7		49.8
		55-64	23.7		44.9
		65-74	18.1		35.4
		75+	18.7		33.4
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by	denominator		
		Represented as antide	epressants ADQ / S	STAR-PU	
		STAR-PUs are weightings devised by NHS Digital and the following link provides further information regarding Prescribing Measures http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/10027/Prescribing-measures-booklet/pdf/pres-meas-book-			
		v7.pdf	iolary modia/ 10021)	1 Toodhollig Ille	24 Care Source Pair proor mode 500K-
			d at the end of that	financial quarte	cial quarter and these patient list sizes er; therefore STAR-PU values used in ete patient list size.
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome). catalogued under the MOKTT reports			
Secti	on 2: Rationale	l			

2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the prescribing comparator is to support the evidence and messages included in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines management options for local implementation' publication by highlighting variation in prescribing across organisations, with the aim of reducing variation and a movement of the mean in the appropriate direction over time. The comparator is intended to support organisations and prescribers in reviewing the appropriateness of current prescribing, revise prescribing where appropriate and monitor implementation.
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed. Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two. The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan. This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-2015_16.pdf
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants: First choice % items (2015)

Secti	on 1: Introduction /	Overview		
1.1	Title	Antidepressants: First choice % items (20	015)	
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH		
1.3	Definition	Number of prescription items for Selective Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approved name as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for 'selected' antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3)		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	,	
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription items for Selective Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approved name		
		BNF Name Citalopram HCI Citalopram Hydrob Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCI Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCI Sertraline HCI	BNF Code 0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA 0403030E0AA 0403030L0AA 0403030P0AA 0403030Q0AA	
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription items for selected	I antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3)	
		BNF Name Antidepressant Drugs excluding:	BNF Code 0403	
		BNF Name Amitriptyline Hydrochloride Clomipramine Hydrochloride Imipramine Hydrochloride Nortriptyline Trimipramine Monoamine-Oxidase Inhibitors (MAOIs) Flupentixol Hydrochloride	BNF Code 0403010B0 0403010F0 0403010N0 0403010V0 0403010Y0 040302 0403040F0 on Key Therapeutic Comparators Descriptions and	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	e – Link in Evidence and Policy base section.	
		Represented as percentage of items for first choice generic SSRIs (Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome) catalogued under the MOKTT reports		
Secti	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines publication by highlighting variation in pre reducing variation and a movement of the comparator is intended to support organicappropriateness of current prescribing, reimplementation.	evise prescribing where appropriate and monitor	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed. Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two. The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan.		

		This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-2015_16.pdf
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % achieving upper threshold or above

Section	n 1: Introduction /	Overview
1.1	Title	Depression (DEP003) % achieving upper threshold or above
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (80% or more
		inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator DEP003
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator
1.6	Denominator	DEP003 (achievement of 80% or more inclusive of exceptions) Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator DEP003
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (COE). Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers)
		(QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/Q
		OF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
	n 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for DEP003.
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed. Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two.
		The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan. This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with depression remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with depression.
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % underlying achievement

Section	n 1: Introduction / (epression (DEP003) % underlying achievement
1.1	Title	Depression (DEP003) % underlying achievement
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator DEP003 (inclusive of exceptions)
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients aged 18 or over with a new diagnosis of depression in the preceding 1 April to 31 March, who have been reviewed not earlier than 10 days after and not later than 56 days after the date of diagnosis
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients aged 18 or over with a new diagnosis of depression in the preceding 1 April to 31 March inclusive of exceptions
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers)
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
Section	n 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for DEP003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed. Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two. The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan. This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with depression remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with depression.
	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

MENTAL HEALTH: Hypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)

	on 1: Introduction /	ypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU Overview	7,12 4 545		
1.1					
		TIJPHOLIOS. ADG/OTARTO	, ib & baseu)		
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH			
1.3	Definition			Qs) for benzodiazepines (indicated	
4.4	Donostinos Lovel		er hypnotics (BNF 4.1.1 sub-set) ADQ based ST	TAR-PU
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator			e for benzodiazepines and "Z" dru	gs (zolpidem,
		zopiclone and zaleplon) in	BNF 4.1.1		
		BNF Name		BNF Code	
		Flunitrazepam		040101010	
		Flurazepam Hydrochloride		0401010L0	
		Loprazolam Mesilate		0401010N0	
		Lormetazepam		0401010P0	
		Nitrazepam		0401010R0 0401010T0	
		Temazepam Triazolam		040101010 0401010V0	
		Zaleplon		0401010V0	
		Zolpidem Tartrate		0401010Y0	
		Zopiclone		0401010Z0	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of hypnotics	(BNF 4.1.1 su	ıb-set) ADQ based STAR-PU	
		,,	•	,	
		Hypnotics (BNF 4.1.1 sub	o-set) ADQ ba	ased STAR-PU (2013 weighting)	
		Age Band	Male	Female	
		0 to 4	0.0	0.0	
		5 to 14	0.0	0.0	
		15 to 24	0.1	0.2	
		25 to 34	0.6	0.9	
		35 to 44	1.6	1.9	
		45 to 54 55 to 64	2.4 3.0	3.6 5.0	
		65 to 74	3.0 4.4	7.6	
		75+	6.7	11.9	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by deno	minator		
		Represented as hypnotics	ADQ / STAR-	·PU	
		CTAP Dillo are weightings	daviagd by N	HS Digital and the following link pr	covides further
		information regarding Pres	cribing Measi	ires	Ovides fulfilei
				7/Prescribing-measures-booklet/pd	lf/pres-meas-book-
		v7.pdf			
		NILIODO A com 1 d 12 d 2 d 2	. f		
				oughout a financial quarter and the of that financial quarter; therefore to the control of the	
				or that financial quarter; therefore a e latest available complete patient	
				ata are available through NHSBSA	
		Services Portal: https://app			
		catalogued under the MOK	TT reports		
	on 2: Rationale	The		tania ta auga dili di	
2.1	Purpose			tor is to support the evidence and Medicines management options for	
				ng variation in prescribing across of	
				ement of the mean in the appropria	
				port organisations and prescribers	
				evise prescribing where appropria	
		implementation.	-	-	
		This indicator helps review	the number of	of hypnotics used within a given po	pulation.
2.2	Evidence and	Hypnotics are medications	that encourse	ge sleep. They may be considered	•
۷.۲	Policy Base	•if insomnia symptoms are		go sloop. They may be considered	•
	. 3.10, 2400	incomina symptoms are	, tory octore		

		•to help ease short-term insomnia •if the good sleep hygiene and cognitive and behavioural treatments mentioned above prove ineffective More recently evidence has come to light that overuse of these medicines may lead to dependency and do more harm than good. It is generally considered good practice to treat the underlying cause of insomnia rather than the symptoms. This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516.pdf
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	Please see data quality assurance statement pertaining to NHSBSA accuracy NHSBSA Data: Data quality assurance

MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % achieving upper threshold or above

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Mental Health (MH010) % achieving upper threshold or above			
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH			
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (90% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator MH010			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator MH010 (achievement of 90% or more inclusive of exceptions)			
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator MH010			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above			
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and			
		practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
Section	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for MH010. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Lithium monitoring is essential due to the narrow therapeutic range of serum lithium and the potential toxicity from intercurrent illness, declining renal function or co-prescription of drugs, for example thiazide diuretics or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS), which may reduce lithium excretion This particular indicator was chosen as a proxy marker to demonstrate good adherence to medication regimes. The assumption is that in order to stay within therapeutic range, the prescriber, patient and pharmacist must work collaboratively to support the patients to achieve this aim. The higher the proportion of patients who are within range could indicate a CCG with good practices in place.			
Section	on 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124			
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided			

MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % underlying achievement

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Mental Health (MH010) % underlying achievement			
1.1		Wertar Fleatin (Wirlo To) 70 underlying achievement			
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH			
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator MH010 (inclusive of			
		exceptions)			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients on lithium therapy with a record of lithium levels in the therapeutic range in the preceding 4 months			
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients on lithium therapy inclusive of exceptions			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions			
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.			
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers)			
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/			
		QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.			
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.			
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for MH010. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Lithium monitoring is essential due to the narrow therapeutic range of serum lithium and the potential toxicity from intercurrent illness, declining renal function or co-prescription of drugs, for example thiazide diuretics or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS), which may reduce lithium excretion This particular indicator was chosen as a proxy marker to demonstrate good adherence to medication regimes. The assumption is that in order to stay within therapeutic range, the prescriber, patient and pharmacist must work collaboratively to support the patients to achieve this aim. The higher the proportion of patients who are within range could indicate a CCG with good practices in place.			
	on 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124			
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality	None provided			
0.4	assurance	Trong provided			

${\tt OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005)~\% ~achieving ~upper ~threshold ~or ~above}$

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Osteoporosis (OST005) % achieving upper threshold or above			
1.2	MO Theme	OSTEOPOROSIS			
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (60% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator OST005			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator OST005 (achievement of 60% or more inclusive of exceptions)			
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator OST005			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions			
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
Castia	n O. Detienele				
2.1	n 2: Rationale Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for OST005. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Interventions for secondary prevention of fractures in patients who have had an osteoporotic fragility fracture include pharmacological intervention.			
	on 3: Data	AND BUY I			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124			
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided			

OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % underlying achievement

Sectio	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Osteoporosis (OST005) % underlying achievement			
1.2	MO Theme	OSTEOPOROSIS			
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator OST005 (inclusive of exceptions)			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients aged 75 or over with a record of a fragility fracture on or after 1 April 2014 and a diagnosis of osteoporosis, who are currently treated with an appropriate bonesparing agent			
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients aged 75 or over with a record of a fragility fracture on or after 1 April 2014 and a diagnosis of osteoporosis inclusive of exceptions			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions			
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.			
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers)			
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
Sectio	n 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.			
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.			
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for OST005. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/ QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Interventions for secondary prevention of fractures in patients who have had an osteoporotic fragility fracture include pharmacological intervention.			
Sectio	n 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124			
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided			

PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service

Section	on 1: Introduction / 0	Overview	
1.1	Title	Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service	
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT EXPERIENCE	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of patients who responded to the section "Awareness of online services offered by GP surgery" who were aware of the on-line repeat prescription ordering service offered by their GP practice	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients aware of on-line repeat prescription ordering service	
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients who responded to the section "Awareness of online services offered by GP surgery"	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as the percentage of patients aware of on-line repeat prescription ordering service	
		Responses include all those completing a questionnaire	
		Results of the survey are weighted. For further details see:	
		https://gp-patient.co.uk/fag/weighted-data	
		The following link enables you to access the GP Patient Survey Questionnaire. https://gp-patient.co.uk/SurveysAndReports	
Section	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	A measure of patient awareness to an on-line service for ordering repeat prescriptions	
2.1	. u.poss	provided by their GP.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	An evaluation was undertaken by Monmouth Partners to provide NHS England with a better understanding of the value of its Medicines Optimisation (MO) Dashboard to patients. A recommendation from the evaluation was 'Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. 'Understanding the patient experience' is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard'. The NHS's ambition is to embrace technology as part of its drive to offer modern, convenient and responsive services to patients, their families and carers. GP practices are leading the way. Today, the majority of GP practices already offer online services, including appointment booking, ordering of repeat prescription, and access to summary information in records. GP practices will increasingly expand online services over the next year. From April 2016, online patient records should include coded information on medication, allergies, illnesses, immunisations and test results. Patients have been telling NHS England that they are ready and want to take more control of their own health and wellbeing. Digital technology has the power to change the relationship between patients and their GP practice. On-line ordering of repeat prescriptions is safer, more efficient and more convenient to patients and also services https://www.england.nhs.uk/patient-online/	
	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS England https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	https://gp-patient.co.uk/	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with varying number of months of survey being undertaken. Data available from July 2015	
3.4	Data quality assurance	See GP Survey – Technical annex https://gp-patient.co.uk/downloads/archive/2017/GPPS%202017%20Technical%20Annex%20PUBLIC.pdf	

PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service

Secti	on 1: Introduction /	Overview
1.1	Title	Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service
'.'	Titlo	ose of the off life of defining of repeat prescriptions service
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT EXPERIENCE
1.3	Definition	Percentage of patients who responded to the section "Use of online services offered by GP
		surgery" who in the reporting period used the on-line repeat prescription ordering service
		offered by their GP practice
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients who used the on-line repeat prescription ordering service in the reporting
		period
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients who responded to the section "Use of on-line services offered by GP
		surgery"
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as the percentage of patients using on-line repeat prescription ordering service
		Nepresented as the percentage of patients using on-line repeat prescription ordering service
		Responses include all those completing a questionnaire
		an arose comproming a questionmand
		Results of the survey are weighted. For further details see:
		https://gp-patient.co.uk/faq/weighted-data
		The following link enables you to access the GP Patient Survey Questionnaire.
		https://gp-patient.co.uk/SurveysAndReports
	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	A measure of patient use of on-line services for ordering repeat prescriptions provided by
		their GP.
2.2	Evidence and	An evaluation was undertaken by Monmouth Partners to provide NHS England with a better
2.2	Policy Base	understanding of the value of its Medicines Optimisation (MO) Dashboard to patients. A
	1 Oney Base	recommendation from the evaluation was 'Patient experience data for medicines is being
		collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS
		stakeholders. ' <i>Understanding the patient experience</i> ' is the first principle of medicines
		optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard'.
		The NHS's ambition is to embrace technology as part of its drive to offer modern, convenient
		and responsive services to patients, their families and carers. GP practices are leading the
		way.
		Today, the majority of GP practices already offer online services, including appointment
		booking, ordering of repeat prescription, and access to summary information in records. GP
		practices will increasingly expand online services over the next year. From April 2016, online
		patient records should include coded information on medication, allergies, illnesses, immunisations and test results.
		Patients have been telling NHS England that they are ready and want to take more control of
		their own health and wellbeing. Digital technology has the power to change the relationship
		between patients and their GP practice.
		On-line ordering of repeat prescriptions is safer, more efficient and more convenient to
		patients and also services
		https://www.england.nhs.uk/patient-online/
		https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/po-support-resources-guide.pdf
	on 3: Data	NLIC Fooland
3.1	Data source	NHS England https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports
3.2	Data owner &	https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports https://gp-patient.co.uk/
0.2	contact details	intpos/gp pationtoo.uiv
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with varying number of months of survey being undertaken
0		Data available from July 2015
3.4	Data quality	See GP Survey – Technical annex
	assurance	https://gp-
		patient.co.uk/downloads/archive/2017/GPPS%202017%20Technical%20Annex%20PUBLIC.
		pdf

PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Availability

Section	on 1: Introduction /	Overview	
1.1	Title	Summary Care Records Availability	
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY	
1.3	Definition	Proportion of practices who are live with the Summary Care Record (SCR) and therefore able to upload patient records onto the SCR	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of Practices live with the SCR	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices	
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator	
		Represented as the percentage of practices live with the SCR	
Section	on 2: Rationale	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.1	Purpose	Allow for the uploading of Summary Care Records by Practices to facilitate safe and effective medicines optimisation in other care settings	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	SCRs have many benefits for patients and healthcare staff in urgent and emergency care settings (such as out-of-hours GP services and Emergency Departments). SCRs provide access to health information that has previously been unavailable, enabling authorised healthcare staff to make informed clinical decisions. Benefits to patients • SCRs are accessible to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency in England. This will be particularly useful when a patient cannot give information (for example if they are unconscious) or when they are away from home and are unable to see their own GP. • Patient care can be supported by healthcare staff having faster access to their medical information and patients may not be required to repeat information to different NHS staff treating them. For example, in a hospital setting, healthcare staff will be able to access a patient's SCRs immediately enabling faster assessment. • SCRs can support better, safer prescribing of medication for patients by providing up to date information on a patient's allergies, previous adverse reactions and medications. • SCRs will enable vulnerable patient groups and those patients that are unable to communicate well with healthcare staff. For example, a non-English speaking patient that could struggle to communicate their condition would no longer be disadvantaged as their SCR would be available to the treating clinician. • Additional information, such as end of life care plans and relevant diagnoses, may be available to inform clinical care where it is appropriate. Benefits to NHS healthcare staff • Important patient information will be available to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency where they had previously not had access to it. This will be particularly useful to NHS staff treating patients in an emergency, when a patient needs treatment out of hours or away from their local area. • SCRs contain details of a patient's key health information including medications, allergies and adverse reacti	
Soction	on 3: Data	Further information on the SCR is available on the NHS Digital website.	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	http://digital.nhs.uk http://systems.digital.nhs.uk/scr	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with most up to date data available Data available from as at 17 April 2015	
3.4	Data quality assurance	Summary Care Record has their own internal quality process to assure the data they receive from various sources that contributes to SCR availability at CCG level. Best endeavours are made to ensure this data is accurate but due to the complex nature there may be some errors at times.	

RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % achieving upper threshold or above

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title				
		Asthma (AST003) % achieving upper threshold or above			
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY			
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (70% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator AST003			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator AST003 (achievement of 70% or more inclusive of exceptions)			
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator AST003			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions			
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf			
Socti	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality			
		care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for AST003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Asthma is a common long-term condition that can cause coughing, wheezing, chest tightness and breathlessness. The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be controlled well in most people most of the time, although some people may have more persistent problems.			
		Occasionally, asthma symptoms can get gradually or suddenly worse.			
		While there is no cure for asthma, there are a number of treatments that can help control the condition. Treatment is based on two important goals, which are: •relieving symptoms •preventing future symptoms and attacks			
		For most people, treatment will involve the occasional – or, more commonly, daily – use of medications, usually taken using an inhaler. However, identifying and avoiding possible triggers is also important. Severe attacks may require hospital treatment and can be life threatening, although this is unusual. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.			
Social	on 3: Data	This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with asthma remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with asthma.			
Section	Section 3: Data				

3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner &	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website	
	contact details	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/	
		https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124	
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data)	
		Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance		

RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % underlying achievement

Section	n 1: Introduction /	Overview		
1.1	Title			
1.2	MO Theme	Asthma (AST003) % underlying achievement RESPIRATORY		
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator AST003 (inclusive of		
1.1	Departing Lavel	exceptions) CCG level		
1.4	Reporting Level			
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions		
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with asthma on the register inclusive of exceptions		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator		
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions		
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.		
		See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
Sectio	n 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.		
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.		
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for AST003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Asthma is a common long-term condition that can cause coughing, wheezing, chest tightness and breathlessness. The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be controlled well		
		in most people most of the time, although some people may have more persistent problems. Occasionally, asthma symptoms can get gradually or suddenly worse. While there is no cure for asthma, there are a number of treatments that can help control the		
		condition. Treatment is based on two important goals, which are: •relieving symptoms		
		•preventing future symptoms and attacks For most people, treatment will involve the occasional – or, more commonly, daily – use of medications, usually taken using an inhaler. However, identifying and avoiding possible triggers is also important.		
		Severe attacks may require hospital treatment and can be life threatening, although this is unusual. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid		
Cost!-	n 2: Doto	emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.		
	n 3: Data Data source	NIJS Digital		
3.1	Data source Data owner &	NHS Digital QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website		
0.2	contact details	http://gof.digital.nhs.uk/		
	The state of the s	https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124		
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

RESPIRATORY: Emergency Asthma Admissions

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Emergency Asthma Admissions			
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY			
1.3	Definition	The number of emergency attendances for asthma per 100 patients on the practice asthma disease register			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level			
1.5	Numerator	Count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range J45- J46			
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients on practice disease register for asthma as of 31 March 2016			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as emergency asthma admissions per 100 patients on asthma disease register			
	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	To highlight and compare the rate of hospital emergency admissions due to complications associated with asthma as a proxy for the effective management of the condition.			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Asthma is a common long-term condition that can cause coughing, wheezing, chest tightness and breathlessness. The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be controlled well in most people most of the time, although some people may have more persistent problems. Occasionally, asthma symptoms can get gradually or suddenly worse. While there is no cure for asthma, there are a number of treatments that can help control the condition. Treatment is based on two important goals, which are: •relieving symptoms •preventing future symptoms and attacks For most people, treatment will involve the occasional – or, more commonly, daily – use of medications, usually taken using an inhaler. However, identifying and avoiding possible triggers is also important. Severe attacks may require hospital treatment and can be life threatening, although this is unusual. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard. Emergency admissions due to asthma can often be avoidable if prevention and alleviation of symptoms are managed effectively and appropriately. Emergency admissions due to asthma can therefore be used to an extent as a proxy for the quality of management of the condition, including the optimal use of medicines.			
Section	on 3: Data	The quality of management of the container, measuring the optimization of measuring the			
3.1	Data source	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 12 months accumulated data Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided			

RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % achieving upper threshold or above

Section	on 1: Introduction /	Overview		
1.1	Title	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % achieving upper threshold or above		
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY		
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (90% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator COPD003		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator COPD003 (achievement of 90% or more inclusive of exceptions)		
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator COPD003		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions		
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/		
		QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
Section	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality		
	r un poss	care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.		
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.		
		NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for COPD003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/ QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	COPD is one of the most common respiratory diseases in the UK. It usually only starts to affect people over the age of 35, although most people are not diagnosed until they are in their 50s. It is thought there are more than 3 million people living with the disease in the UK, of which only about 900,000 have been diagnosed. This is because many people who develop symptoms of COPD do not get medical help because they often dismiss their symptoms as a 'smoker's cough'. COPD affects more men than women, although rates in women are increasing.		
		Good treatment of COPD can make a dramatic difference to quality of life and reduce emergency hospital admissions. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.		
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with COPD remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with COPD.		
Secti	on 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner &	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website		
	contact details	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124		
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

${\bf RESPIRATORY: Chronic\ Obstructive\ Pulmonary\ Disease\ (COPD003)\ \%\ underlying\ achievement}$

Section	n 1: Introduction / 0	Overview		
1.1	Title	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % underlying achievement		
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY		
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator COPD003 (inclusive of exceptions)		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level		
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months		
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with COPD inclusive of exceptions		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator		
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions		
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2016/17 (NHS Employers) http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
Section	n 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary. Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines. NB: For 2016/17 QOF, points are awarded for COPD003. http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	COPD is one of the most common respiratory diseases in the UK. It usually only starts to affect people over the age of 35, although most people are not diagnosed until they are in their 50s. It is thought there are more than 3 million people living with the disease in the UK, of which only about 900,000 have been diagnosed. This is because many people who develop symptoms of COPD do not get medical help because they often dismiss their symptoms as a 'smoker's cough'. COPD affects more men than women, although rates in women are increasing. Good treatment of COPD can make a dramatic difference to quality of life and reduce emergency hospital admissions. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard. This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with COPD remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with COPD.		
Section	n 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124		
3.3	Time Frame	2016/17 (NB: Refreshed yearly with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

RESPIRATORY: Emergency COPD Admissions

Section	on 1: Introduction / (Overview
1.1	Title	Emergency COPD Admissions
	711.10	Emergency Cor B Namicolonic
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY
1.3	Definition	The number of emergency attendances for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease per 100
		patients on the practice COPD disease register
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG level
1.5	Numerator	Count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency
		(21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range J40-J44
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients on practice disease register for COPD as of 31 March 2016
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator
		Represented as emergency COPD admissions per 100 patients on COPD disease register
Section	on 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	To highlight and compare the rate of hospital emergency admissions due to complications associated with COPD as a proxy for the effective management of the condition.
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	COPD is one of the most common respiratory diseases in the UK. It usually only starts to affect people over the age of 35, although most people are not diagnosed until they are in their 50s. It is thought there are more than 3 million people living with the disease in the UK, of which only about 900,000 have been diagnosed. This is because many people who develop symptoms of COPD do not get medical help because they often dismiss their symptoms as a 'smoker's cough'. COPD affects more men than women, although rates in women are increasing. Good treatment of COPD can make a dramatic difference to quality of life and reduce emergency hospital admissions. Emergency admissions due to exacerbations of COPD can often be avoidable if treatment is carried out well and appropriately. Emergency admissions due to exacerbations of COPD can therefore be used to an extent as a proxy for the quality of management of the condition, including the optimal use of medicines.
Section	on 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators
		https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/
3.2	Data owner &	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators
y. <u>—</u>	contact details	https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 12 months accumulated data
0.0	o i idillo	Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality	None provided
J. 1	assurance	, p

Hospital Trust Comparators

BIOSIMILARS: % of Etanercept biosimilars uptake

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	% of Etanercept biosimilars uptake			
1.2	MO Theme	BIOSIMILARS			
1.3	Definition	The percentage of defined daily doses for the biosimilar versions of etanercept			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	The number of defined daily doses for the biosimilar versions of etanercept			
1.6	Denominator	The total number of defined daily doses for all etanercept (originator and biosimilar)			
1.7	Methodology	The numerator divided by the denominator			
		Represented as the percentage of defined daily doses for the biosimilar versions of etanercept			
		The percentage is calculated using the reported number of defined daily doses for biosimilar versions of etanercept			
Secti	on 2: Rationale				
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Competition between different biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines. This is particularly relevant in the context of Medicines Value Programme which is looking at how the NHS can be supported to take value based decisions. There are additional benefits, such as further sources of supply. Biosimilar medicines are more challenging and expensive to develop than generic medicines, but there are significant savings associated with increased competition between biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines. Many Trusts have introduced active and successful programmes to implement the use of biosimilar etanercept in gastroenterology & rheumatology patients. This work has been collaborative with clinicians and patients and has resulted in significant savings for the health economies that allows funding to be used for other healthcare. This is in line with the NHS England commissioning policies and the Commissioning Framework for Biosimilar medicines. (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/biosimilar-medicines-commissioning-framework.pdf Biosimilars have been licensed by the appropriate regulator (MHRA or EMA) and is a biological medicine which is highly similar to another biological medicine already licensed for use which has been shown not to have any clinically meaningful differences from the originator biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and addicined to the content of the patients of the content of the patients of the content			
Socti	on 3: Data	and clinicians, increased commercial competition and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines.			
		The data is extracted from the NHC Improvement Model Hespital Deakhoard Discussion			
3.1	Data source	The data is extracted from the NHS Improvement Model Hospital Dashboard – Pharmacy and Medicines compartment. This data is sourced from the Rx-info Define system which is used by acute trusts			
3.2	Data owner &	Andrew Davies, Professional Lead for Hospital Pharmacy, NHS Improvement			
	contact details	Andrew.davies@nhs.net			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with monthly data Data available on a 13 month rolling basis			
3.4	Data quality assurance	The data used is the individual trusts own data. In line with the Carter methodology this data is reflected back to organisations through the model hospital and trusts are required to review and raise any issues through the NHSI.Productivity@nhs.net email address. Individual data points are not validated by NHS Improvement			

BIOSIMILARS: % of Infliximab biosimilars uptake

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	% of Infliximab biosimilars uptake			
''	11110	70 of illimitation blooming aptace			
1.2	MO Theme	BIOSIMILARS			
1.3	Definition	The percentage of defined daily doses for the biosimilar versions of infliximab			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	The number of defined daily doses for the biosimilar versions of infliximab			
1.6	Denominator	The total number of defined daily doses for all infliximab (originator and biosimilar)			
1.7	Methodology	The numerator divided by the denominator.			
		Represented as the percentage of defined daily doses for the biosimilar versions of infliximab			
		The percentage is calculated using the reported number of defined daily doses for biosimilar versions of infliximab (Inflectra and Remsima)			
Secti	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose Evidence and Policy Base	Competition between different biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines. This is particularly relevant in the context of Medicines Value Programme which is looking at how the NHS can be supported to take value based decisions. There are additional benefits, such as further sources of supply. Biosimilar medicines are more challenging and expensive to develop than generic medicines, but there are significant savings associated with increased competition between biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines. Many Trusts have introduced active and successful programmes to implement the use of biosimilar infliximab in gastroenterology & rheumatology patients. This work has been collaborative with clinicians and patients and has resulted in significant savings for the health economies that allows funding to be used for other healthcare. This is in line with the NHS England commissioning policies and the Commissioning Framework for Biosimilar medicines (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/biosimilar-medicines-commissioning-framework.pdf Biosimilars have been licensed by the appropriate regulator (MHRA or EMA) and is a biological medicine which is highly similar to another biological medicine already licensed for use which has been shown not to have any clinically meaningful differences from the originator biological medicines in terms of quality, safety and efficacy. Continuing development of biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, increased commercial competition and enhanced value propositions for			
Socti	on 3: Data	individual medicines.			
3.1	Data source	The data is extracted from the NHS Improvement Model Hospital Dashboard – Pharmacy			
3.1	Data Source	and Medicines compartment. This data is sourced from the Rx-info Define system which is used by acute trusts			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	Andrew Davies, Professional Lead for Hospital Pharmacy, NHS Improvement Andrew.davies@nhs.net			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with monthly data Data available on a 13 month rolling basis			
3.4	Data quality assurance	The data used is the individual trusts own data. In line with the Carter methodology this data is reflected back to organisations through the model hospital and trusts are required to review and raise any issues through the MHSI.Productivity@nhs.net email address. Individual data points are not validated by NHS Improvement			

BIOSIMILARS: % of Rituximab biosimilars uptake

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	% of Rituximab biosimilar uptake			
1.2	MO Theme	BIOSIMILARS			
	Definition				
1.3		The percentage of gram volume for the biosimilar versions of rituximab.			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	The total gram volume for the biosimilar versions of rituximab			
1.6	Denominator	The total gram volume for all rituximab (originator and biosimilar)			
1.7	Methodology	The numerator divided by the denominator			
		Represented as the percentage of grammes for the biosimilar versions of rituximab			
		The percentage is calculated using the reported number of grammes for biosimilar versions of rituximab.			
Section	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	Competition between different biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines. This is particularly relevant in the context of the Medicines Value Programme which is looking at how the NHS can be supported to take value based decisions. There are additional benefits, such as further sources of supply. Biosimilar medicines are more challenging and expensive to develop than generic medicines, but there are significant savings associated with increased competition between biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines. Many Trusts have introduced active and successful programmes to implement the use of biosimilar Rituximab in cancer patients following innovative work from the Cancer Vanguard. This work has been collaborative with clinicians and patients and has resulted in significant savings for the health economies that allows funding to be used for other healthcare. This is in line with the NHS England commissioning policies and the Commissioning Framework for Biosimilar medicines (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/biosimilar-medicines-commissioning-framework.pdf)			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Biosimilars have been licensed by the appropriate regulator (MHRA or EMA) and are biological medicine which is highly similar to another biological medicine already licensed for use which has been shown not to have any clinically meaningful differences from the originator biological medicine in terms of quality, safety and efficacy. Continuing development of biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, increased commercial competition and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines.			
Section	on 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	The data is extracted from the NHS Improvement Model Hospital Dashboard – Pharmacy and Medicines compartment Top 10 medicines. This data is sourced from the Rx-info Define system which is used by 95% of acute trusts			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	Andrew Davies, Professional Lead for Hospital Pharmacy, NHS Improvement Andrew.davies@nhs.net			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with monthly data Building up to a 13 months rolling basis (currently only 4 months)			
3.4	Data quality assurance	The data used is the individual trusts own data. In line with the Carter methodology this data is reflected back to organisations through the model hospital and trusts are required to review and raise any issues through the MHSI.Productivity@nhs.net email address. Individual data points are not validated by NHS Improvement			

PATIENT EXPERIENCE: CQC In-patient Survey

Section	on 1: Introduction / 0	Overview					
1.1	Title	CQC In-patient Survey					
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT EXPERIENCE		00	2.4 05) O I''
1.3	Definition	The sum of the mean scores for the response Commission in-patient survey (2016), express score of 40.					
		Q62 "Did a member of staff explain the purpose a way you could understand"?	se of the	medicin	ies you	were to	take at home in
		Q63 "Did a member of staff tell you about med home?"	dication s	ide effe	cts to v	vatch fo	r when you went
		Q64 "Were you told how to take your medicati	ion in a w	ay you	could u	ındersta	and?"
		Q65 "Were you given clear written or printed i	nformatio	n abou	t your n	nedicine	es"
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust					
1.5	Numerator	The aggregated mean score for the response	s to ques	tions 62	2 to 65		
1.6	Denominator	40 (maximum possible score for Q62 to Q65)	•				
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator					
•••		Represented as the percentage of the maximi	um possil	ole scor	e of 40)	
			росс		0 00		
		Scoring system for Q62 to Q65					
		Response	Q62	Q63	Q64	Q65	
		Yes, completely	10	10	10	10	
		Yes, to some extent	5	5	5	5	
		No	0	0	0	0	
		I did not need an explanation I had no medicines	n/a n/a	n/a			
		I did not need to be told how to take my	11/a		n/a		
		medication			""		
		I did not need this				n/a	
		Don't know / Can't remember				n/a	
		Mean score for each question is calculated by surveyed and dividing by the number of patier. Due to the way NHSBSA receive the data at tor presented for other geographies. See technical document for details of how the applied to analysing and presenting the finding http://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20170 Hospital benchmark reports are also available http://nhssurveys.org/surveys/1089	rust level survey w gs. 9531 ip16	this convas und	luding imparate	n/a responder cannot	onses. ot be calculated e methodologies
Section	on 2: Rationale						
2.1	Purpose	A measure of the information provided to patie effects of their medicines.	ents, on d	lischarg	e from	hospita	l, about the side-
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	According to NICE's Medicines optimisation guidelines (published in March 2015) relevant information about medicines should be shared with patients and their family members or carers, where appropriate, and between health and social care practitioners when a person moves from one care setting to another, to support high-quality care. An evaluation was undertaken by Monmouth Partners to provide NHS England with a better					
		understanding of the value of its Medicines Op	ptimisatio	n (MO)	Dashb	oard to	patients. A

		recommendation from the evaluation was 'Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. 'Understanding the patient experience' is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard'.	
Secti	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	CQC - Care Quality Commission Adult Inpatient Survey (September 2015 to January 2016)	
3.2	Data owner &	http://www.cqc.org.uk/publications/surveys/adult-inpatient-survey-2016	
	contact details		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with varying months of data	
		Data available from September 2015	
3.4	Data quality	See 2016 Adult Inpatient Survey: Quality and Methodology Report	
	assurance	http://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20170531_ip16_quality_and_methodology_report.pdf	

PATIENT SAFETY: Medicines Reconciliation

Secti	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Medicines Reconciliation			
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY			
1.3	Definition	Percentage of adult inpatients receiving medicines reconciliation within 24 hours of admission			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	Total number of patients who received medicines reconciliation for all medicines undertaken (started) within 24 hours of admission to this care setting			
1.6	Denominator	Total number of patients' records including those that have both received and not received medicines reconciliation			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator			
		Represented as proportion of patients receiving medicines reconciliation (%)			
		ST: The data in the dashboard represents information populated by trusts designated as 'Acute'			
Secti	on 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	The aim of medicines reconciliation on hospital admission is to ensure that medicines prescribed on admission correspond to those that the patient was taking before admission. Details to be recorded include the name of the medicine(s), dosage, frequency, and route of administration. Establishing these details may involve discussion with the patient and/or carers and the use of records from primary care. The NHS has launched the medication safety thermometer which uses medicines reconciliation and some other measures to help trusts improve their medication safety and to focus on the issues of medication error and harm caused from medication error. The NHS Safety Thermometer is a local improvement tool for measuring, monitoring and analysing patient harms and 'harm free' care. Data for the comparator has been sourced from the			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Safety Thermometer. In 2007, NICE developed a Technical patient safety solution for medicines reconciliation on admission of adults to hospital (PSG001). It recommended that all healthcare organisations that admit adult inpatients should put policies in place for medicines reconciliation on admission. This includes mental health units, and applies to elective and emergency admissions.			
Section	on 3: Data	aumissions.			
3.1	Data source	Safety Thermometer Please note that data from September 2016 onwards only includes data from the Safety Thermometer.			
3.2	Data owner &	www.safetythermometer.nhs.uk/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=3&Itemid=1			
	contact details	<u>07</u>			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months of accumulated data Data available from January 2014			
3.4	Data quality assurance	ST: None provided			

PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS % of harmful incidents

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	NRLS - % of harmful incidents			
		THESE TO STRUMENT MODERNE			
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY			
1.3	Definition	Number of medication incidents reported as causing low, moderate or severe harm or death			
		as a proportion of all medication errors as reported to NRLS			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	Number of reported incidents of harm involving medicines			
1.6	Denominator	Total number of all reported incidents involving medicines			
1.7	Methodology	The number of reported incidents of harm involving medicines (incidents reported as resulting in either 'Low harm', 'Moderate harm', 'Severe harm' or a 'Death') divided by the total number of reported incidents involving medicines. Represented as a percentage of harmful medication incidents			
Section	on 2: Rationale	Represented as a percentage of Hamilul medication incidents			
2.1	Purpose	The NRLS was established in 2003. The system enables patient safety incident reports to be			
		submitted to a national database. This data is then analysed to identify hazards, risks and opportunities to improve the safety of patient care. http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/report-a-patient-safety-incidents/			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Organisations with an open and honest reporting culture, where staff believe reporting incidents is worthwhile because preventative action will be taken, are likely to report a higher proportion of 'no harm' incidents than an organisation with a less mature reporting and learning culture Since the NRLS was established, over four million incident reports have been submitted by healthcare staff.			
Section	on 3: Data	Treatment start.			
3.1	Data source	NRLS Reporting, NHS Improvement			
		https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/organisation-patient-safety-incident-reports-27-september-2017/			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	NHSI.NRLSDataRequest@nhs.net			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed 6 monthly with 6 months of data			
3.4	Data quality	Data available from April 2014 https://improvement.nhs.uk/uploads/documents/Data_Handling_Notes_Sep16_FINAL.pdf			
J. 4	assurance	nttps://improvement.mis.uv/uploaus/documents/Data_nanding_Notes_Sep16_FINAL.pdf			

PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS reported medication incidents

Section 1: Introduction / Overview					
1.1	Title	NRLS reported medication incidents			
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY			
1.3	Definition	Number of medication incidents reported to NRLS per "activity"			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	Number of reported incidents involving medicines			
1.6	Denominator	KH03 overnight bed days			
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator Represented as the total incidents per 1,000 KH03 overnight bed days			
Secti	Section 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	Organisations who do not have an open and honest reporting culture, and where staff do not believe reporting incidents is worthwhile, are likely to report fewer medication incidents given their overall activity than an organisation with a more mature reporting and learning culture. Whilst low reporting levels are always a concern, high reporting can be symptomatic of either good reporting or high levels actual problems (including issues of medication supply) This comparator aims to provoke local discussions about how to drive up reporting and ensure a learning culture.			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The NRLS was established in 2003. The system enables patient safety incident reports to be submitted to a national database. This data is then analysed to identify hazards, risks and opportunities to improve the safety of patient care. Since the NRLS was established, over four million incident reports have been submitted by healthcare staff.			
Section 3: Data					
3.1	Data source	NRLS Reporting, NHS Improvement https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/organisation-patient-safety-incident-reports-27-september-2017/			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	NHSI.NRLSDataRequest@nhs.net			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed 6 monthly with 6 months of data Data available from April 2014			
3.4	Data quality assurance	The following link provides a document outlining the quality assurance regarding the numerator data. https://improvement.nhs.uk/uploads/documents/Data Handling Notes Sep16 FINAL.pdf Denominator data – none provided			

PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Utilisation

Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Summary Care Records Utilisation		
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY		
1.3	Definition	Number of times the Summary Care Record (SCR) is viewed by NHS Hospital Trusts as a percentage of the number of in-patient non-elective admissions		
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust		
1.5	Numerator	The number of times the SCR has been viewed at Trust level		
1.6	Denominator	Number of non-elective admissions		
1.7	Methodology	Numerator divided by denominator Represented as the number of times the SCR is viewed as a percentage of in-patient non- elective admissions Providers with utilisation greater than 100% indicates that the SCR would have been viewed by more than one clinician during a patient pathway		
Section 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	Access to the SCR facilitates safe and effective medicines optimisation on admission to hospital. In-patient non-elective admissions is used as the denominator as SCR is used within emergency and urgent care settings.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	SCRs have many benefits for patients and healthcare staff in urgent and emergency care settings (such as out-of-hours GP services and Emergency Departments). SCRs provide access to health information that has previously been unavailable, enabling authorised healthcare staff to make informed clinical decisions. Benefits to patients SCRs are accessible to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency in		
		England. This will be particularly useful when a patient cannot give information (for example if they are unconscious) or when they are away from home and are unable to see their own GP.		
		 Patient care can be supported by healthcare staff having faster access to their medical information and patients may not be required to repeat information to different NHS staff treating them. For example, in a hospital setting, healthcare staff will be able to access a patient's SCRs immediately enabling faster assessment. SCRs can support better, safer prescribing of medication for patients by providing up to date information on a patient's allergies, previous adverse reactions and medications. SCRs will enable vulnerable patient groups and those patients that are unable to 		
		communicate well with healthcare staff. For example, a non-English speaking patient that could struggle to communicate their condition would no longer be disadvantaged as their SCR would be available to the treating clinician. • Additional information, such as end of life care plans and relevant diagnoses, may be available to inform clinical care where it is appropriate. Benefits to NHS healthcare staff		
		• Important patient information will be available to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency where they had previously not had access to it. This will be particularly useful to NHS staff treating patients in an emergency, when a patient needs treatment out of hours or away from their local area.		
		 SCRs contain details of a patient's key health information including medications, allergies and adverse reactions. This enables clinicians to feel more confident to treat patients. Medicines reconciliation (where a patient's prescribed medication is checked against current medications to ensure there is no conflict) will become more efficient in hospital pharmacies as pharmacists will be able to immediately refer to the SCR in order to reconcile the medications prescribed to the patient. Further information on the SCR is available on the NHS Digital website. 		
Section	on 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	http://digital.nhs.uk http://systems.digital.nhs.uk/scr		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with month end data Data available from February 2015		
3.4	Data quality assurance	Summary Care Record have their own internal quality process to assure the data they receive from various sources that contributes to SCR availability at Trust level. Best endeavours are made to ensure this data is accurate but due to the complex nature there may be some errors at times.		